

ELIZABETH M. WEAVER (BAR NO. 123764)  
elizabeth.weaver@nortonrosefulbright.com  
H. JOSEPH DRAPALSKI III (BAR NO. 298791)  
joseph.drapalski@nortonrosefulbright.com  
**NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP**  
555 South Flower Street  
Forty-First Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 892-9200  
Facsimile: (213) 892-9494

Attorneys for Defendant  
**TRIMAS CORPORATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**ALISU INVESTMENTS, LTD. and  
KARGO GROUP GP, LLC**

## Plaintiffs,

v.

TRIMAS CORPORATION d/b/a NI  
INDUSTRIES, INC., A.O. SMITH,  
BRADFORD WHITE  
CORPORATION, and DOES 1-10.

## Defendants.

Case No.: 2:16-CV-00686-MWF(PJWx)

Hon. Michael W. Fitzgerald

**DEFENDANT TRIMAS  
CORPORATION'S  
COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST  
PLAINTIFFS FOR:**

- 1) CONTRIBUTION UNDER CERCLA; and**
  - 2) DECLARATORY RELIEF**

**TRIMAS CORPORATION d/b/a NI  
INDUSTRIES, INC.,**

## Counter-Claimant,

V.

**ALISU INVESTMENTS, LTD. and  
KARGO GROUP GP, LLC.**

## Counter-Defendants.

**Fourth Amended Complaint  
Filed: September 13, 2017**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 13, Defendant and counterclaimant TriMas Corporation (“Counter-Claimant”), by and through the undersigned attorneys, asserts the following counterclaims against Plaintiffs (“Counter-Defendants”).

**NATURE OF THE ACTION, PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE**

1. These are counterclaims brought by Counter-Claimant against Counter-Defendants for contribution, declaratory judgment, and other relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(1) and 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2) of the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”) and 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

2. Counter-Defendants are the Plaintiffs in this action as identified in paragraph 1 of the Fourth Amended Complaint.

3. Counter-Claimant is a Defendant in this action and a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business located at 39400 Woodward Avenue, Suite 130, Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304.

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9613(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9613(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c).

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**(Contribution under CERCLA)**

6. Counter-Claimant incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 5 of this Counterclaim.

7. Counter-Defendants have commenced this action seeking cost recovery and declaratory judgment against Counter-Claimant, under Section 9607(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601–9675.

8. As alleged in the Fourth Amended Complaint, Counter-Defendants are the owners of 4901 S. Boyle Avenue, Vernon, California 90058 (the “Property”).

1 Counter-Defendants have allegedly incurred costs to investigate and remediate the  
 2 Property and seek cost recovery from Counter-Claimant in this action. *See* Fourth  
 3 Amended Complaint ¶¶ 31–37.

4       9. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(1), any person may seek contribution  
 5 from any other person who is liable or potentially liable under Section 9607(a) of  
 6 CERCLA during or following any civil action under Section 9606 or Section  
 7 9607(a) of CERCLA. In resolving contribution claims, the Court may allocate  
 8 response costs among liable parties using such equitable factors as the Court  
 9 determines are appropriate.

10     10. Counter-Defendants and Counter-Claimant are “persons” within the  
 11 meaning of 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601(21) and 9613(f)(1).

12     11. This is a civil action in which Counter-Defendants have alleged claims  
 13 against Counter-Claimant under CERCLA Section 9607(a) and, therefore, Counter-  
 14 Claimant is entitled to seek contribution under 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(1).

15     12. If liability is established against Counter-Claimant, which is expressly  
 16 denied, the Court should allocate the response costs sought in Counter-Defendants’  
 17 CERCLA Section 107(a) claim among liable parties using such equitable factors as  
 18 the Court determines are appropriate, under 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(1).

## **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

### **(Declaratory Relief)**

13. Counter-Claimant incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein,  
 14 paragraphs 1 through 12 of this Counterclaim.

15. Counter-Defendants have alleged a claim against Counter-Claimant  
 16 under CERCLA Section 107(a); therefore, CERCLA Section 113, 42 U.S.C. §  
 17 9613(f)(1), authorizes Counter-Claimant to seek declaratory relief in this action  
 18 against all Counter-Defendants.

19. If liability is established, the Court should grant appropriate  
 20 declaratory relief under 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Counter-Claimant demands judgment in its favor and against Counter-Defendants, to the extent authorized by law, as follows:

1. For contribution under CERCLA for past and future response costs, including Counter-Claimant's costs in this action to investigate and remediate the alleged contamination;

2. For a judicial declaration under CERCLA that Counter-Defendants are liable for their equitable share of all present and future response costs including Counter-Claimant's costs in this action to investigate and remediate the alleged contamination;

3. For costs of suit; and

4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: September 27, 2017

**ELIZABETH M. WEAVER  
H. JOSEPH DRAPALSKI III  
NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP**

By /s/ Elizabeth M. Weaver  
ELIZABETH M WEAVER  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TRIMAS CORPORATION